NORWELL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
345 Main Street / Norwell, MA
April 19, 2016 @ 7:00 PM - Room 112
Meeting Minutes
Present: Marynel Wahl, David Osborne, Bob McMackin, Bob Woodill, Ron Mott, Stacy Minihane,
N. Nature Hemingway, Recording Clerk Pillen McKenne
Thomas as called to order at 7:05 pm by Chair Marynel Wahl.
The meeting was called to order at 7:05 pm by Chair Marynel Wahl.

AGENDA ACCEPTANCE
Motion, made by Mr. Osborne, seconded by Mr. Mott, to approve the agenda as inhumidal
Comminously voted
Agenda addition: Add property encroachment; Stone House Gardens, aka That Bibonning Process
(under Violations)

COMMISSION BUSINESS
Meeting minutes

• Brad Holmes - re: peer review process focus on Queen Anne's Lanc and 501 Mt. Blue Street
Mr. Holmes gave a recap of permitting projects, and stated that he wasn't aware of the billing
protocol as there was a disconnect between his firm and the Town. At this point, he has forwarded
his invoices to the Con Conn office; all are puid except one invoice, Per N. Hemingway, this has
nothing to do with Mr. Holmes, but relates to Queen Anne's Lanc. The firances should have shifted
to the Town from Kenney, who paid for most of the work. Ms. Minihane is comfortable with Mr.
Holmes' review, but had a question about logistics. For peer reviews in the future, perhaps aumeone
not involved in the project should be hired. All discussed the peer review from Kenney, who paid for most of the work. Ms. Minihane is comfortable with Mr.
Holmes' review, but had a question about logistics. For peer reviews in the future, perhaps aumeone
not involved in the project should be hired. All discussed the peer review from Kenney, with a peer review should go through the final report directly or go to per review sin the fature, perhaps aumeone
not involved in the project should be hired. All discussed the peer review wing from Mr. Holmes
for the Queen Anne project. Unanimously voted

Re: second case; 501 Mt. Blue Street, All looks great. Per board consensus, anything going through
a peer review shou

Town in 2012, hunting was not allowed on the trails. A map was created with no hunting zones on the specific trails. The map needs updating. N. Hemingway showed a slide of the rules and regulations written in 2012. All discussed amending rule #4, which led to a discussion about state laws and Town rules. More discussion ensued about Town policy to allow hunting with specific signage for No Hunting for Fog Forest, Jacobs trail (except as noted on the kiosk), with state law prevailing everywhere else. Hunting rules will be added to the kiosk signs at a later date. For now trail signs will be put up.

Motion; made by Ms. Minthame, seconded by Mr. Woodill, to close Jacobs trail to hunting except for the Great Valley swamp, close Fogs Forest in its emirrely and create signage to reflect this motion. Unanimously voted

Discussion ensued about holding a public hearing re: hunting. Further discussion addressed the State law and potential modifications. All agreed that on the rest of Con Com lands; hunting laws should be the regular hunting laws of the Commonwealth.

Motion; made by Mr. Mot. seconded by Mr. Woodill, to allow hunting on the rest of Con Com's proporties, with state hunting regularions prevailing. Unanimously voted

Next Steps: N. Hemingway will delete rules 4 and 5 from the 2012 Town Hunting Rules and Regulations, and replace them with the motions voted at this meeting

SUB-COMMITTEE UPDATES

Open Space & Recreation – the Jacobs Pond parking lot needs to be finished in time for the fishing derby. Mr. Woodill will find out if it can be done, then will be coordination with the Science Center event on that day. The group could use help during the day. Mr. Osbome suggested inviting fool truck vendors instead of bringing a grill. Discussion of cognization ensued. N. Heiningway will ask Meetiffs to find vendors. The committee will supply cases of water, chips and granols have.

Open Space & Recreation – the Jacobs Pond parking lot needs to be finished in time for the fishing derby. The group could use help duri

- SchopM: PUBLIC HEARINGS LEGAL DOCUMENTS/VOTES

 ***Legal Documents/Votes

 ***Minor Amendments, Reviews, CoC's

 **Requests for Determination

 ***Votices of Intent

 ***Enforcements/ Violations

 Agents Notes

 10/199 Longwater / SE 52-514 & NCC # 8(66) / Construction of office building / SE 52-514 & NCC # 8(66) / Construction of office building / SE 52-514 & NCC # 8(66) / Construction of office building / SE 52-514 & NCC # 8(66) / Construction of office building / SE 52-514 & NCC # 8(66) / Construction of office building / SE 52-514 & NCC # 8(66) / Construction of office building / SE 52-514 & NCC # 8(66) / Construction of office building / SE 52-514 & NCC # 8(66) / Construction of office building / SE 52-514 & NCC # 8(66) / Construction of office building / SE 52-514 & NCC # 8(66) / Construction of office building / SE 52-514 & NCC # 8(66) / Construction of office building / SE 52-514 & NCC # 8(66) / Construction of office building / SE 52-514 & NCC # 8(66) / Construction of office building / SE 52-514 & NCC # 8(66) / Construction of office building / SE 52-514 & NCC # 8(66) / Construction of office building / SE 52-514 & NCC # 8(66) / Construction of office building / SE 52-514 & NCC # 8(66) / Construction of office building / SE 52-514 & NCC # 8(66) / Construction of provide building / SE 52-514 & NCC # 8(66) / Construction of provide building / SE 52-514 & NCC # 8(66) / Construction of Detached Garage

 RDA / DoA Applicant: Grogory Berberian / Representative: Brad Holmes, ECR

 Please refer to last meetings notes

 Site visits were taken by mont Commissioners

 A construction sequence was submitted and forwarded to you all.

 The landowner acknowledged the violation at the base of the driveway into wetland resource and has agreed to file an arter-the-fact NoI to remedy the violation.

 Commission discretion whether the project is approvable under the RDA process or should be filed as a NoI.

 In my opinion, if approved, the construction sequence is recommended to be heavily peninted with conditions. Below are several t

o I recommend clearly outlining the consequences of losing control of soils down the slope,
Haybales are called for despite long standing prohibition of haybale use in Norwell. Mulch sock only, please.
O I recommend that all excevated soil be required to be disposed of off-site if not reused for the backfill.
While the work can theoretically be conditioned to not impact associated resource areas, the Commission also has a past policy that if projects require more than a few standard basic conditions to protect resource area (funding the local by law 50 foot buffer resource) then an RDA is probably not the right permitting whicle, and an No1 is justified. That being said, this project can be conditioned to woold impact to resource areas. This is just one where you will need to draw on best professional judgement and decide what is reasonable and right.

The burden for requiring an No1 rather than permitting through an RDA is based on whether or not there is a likelihood that the project will actually impact a resource area.
The decision will be influenced by whether you consider all work on site (violation and garage) to be components of the same project, or consider them to be separate issues.

MERIOMES recapped the proposal and application and reviewed the site plans. The homeowner is looking for approval for this project. Ms. Wahl asked for feedback. An NOI may be required. Homeowner brought photors to show work done. Discussion ensued of resource areas and features, with feedback from board. The homeowner has set out stakes per the board's request and the way be would like the project built. Mr. Holmes reviewed all of the features put in place for site preparation. Ms. Wahl reminded all that the applicant put the stakes in, and asked if the construction with a four foot tranch, which should not impact wetlands. The homeowner and Mr. Holmes not to put anything on the hill, and will be there to supervise the construction. Mr. Holmes and out construction methodology, and N. Hemingway modified it to apply to thi

Next Steps:

Mr. Holmes will set up an ASAP appointment to have N. Hemingway do a site visit to help correct the 50° buffer.

Agents Notes

47′ Main Street / SESZ-1994 & NCC # 4/16 / / Wetland Line Delineation
ANRAD / ORAD (cont.) Applicant: Russell Campanelli / Representative; Brad McKenzie, McKenzie Eng.

Please refer to last meeting notes.

Statey and Marynel and I conducted the follow up site visit last Monday.

A revised plan was submitted showing the revised BvW flags.

All resources and possibly jurisdictional areas are not clearly defined on the site plan, only BVW. I recommend a clear statement identifying those resources which have not been shown on the site plan and are identified or may be present.

A preliminary subdivision or OSRD plan is pending submitted before the Planning Board which requires

All on-site local, state and federal regulatory resource boundaries and buffer zones shall be clearly identified. (OSRD)

Under the preliminary subdivision requirements the plan must show all existing bodies of water, brooks and streams and weards, with direction of flow and the proposed disposition of watercourses.

Based on discussion with Chris, the Planning Board presumes that an ORAD issued by the Commission addresses these resource areas.

Again, an applicant is not obligated to identify all jurisdictional resources it in ANRAD process for this proporty. The owner is just looking for approval of the BVW line only and not verifying that there are other resource areas.

S. Minibane clarified that the Commission was not being asked to verify that there are no other resources on site. B. Holmes verified just the BVW.

MF read the draft ORAD for all. Discussion ensued about rewording to include other areas that may be preset on site but were not described.

Motion: made by Ms. Minibane, seconded by Mr. Woodill, re: ANRAD /ORAD, to approve this plan as reworded in the final document. Unanimously voted

Agents Notes
46 Pleasungt Street / SES2-1093 & NCC #6(16) / Septic repair, Driveway reconstruction and regretation cutting
Nol / OoC (cont.) Applicant: Juson Kennedy / Representative: Greg Morse, Morse Engineering

• Please refer to last meetings notes.

• Site visits were taken by most of the Commission last Thursday, changes were made to the plan based on comments and feedback and include the following.

• The PVP is shown on the map.

• The driveway will remain at the same grade and be graded and filled with crushed stone to a width no more than the existing average of 9 feet.

• I recommend that crusion control mulch sock be required along areas where the buffer and BVW is level with or drops off from the driveway.

• Vegetation will be trimmed back variable width depending on whether or not it is at the welland/stream or near buffer. There is no renoval of notes to base of stems.

• I recommend a condition that vegetation trimming be allowed once each fall to keep the driveway clear and das keep this section of buffer, most of which is at or within \$0 feet of brow/stream stable and natural.

• Cutting is still proposed along the stream, I am concerned about the grading and destabilization of the bank and would strongly recommend a planted buffer along these areas rather than vegetation removal.

• Commission discretion. I recommend use of the long bylaw form if approval is granted.

Meeting Minutes

Gregory Morse was present, and recapped the Commission's site visit and changes to the plan as requested by the Commission. All discussed the construction and re-gravel of the drive.

Smaller equipment and frequently delivered small piles of gravel will be used. A CoC will have to be issued so that the Commission an inspect the final project. All discussed grave composition. The proposed material will be dense grade crusher run, which will hold up and not run off into the wetlands. It is specified on the plans, N. Hemingway reminded the applicant that no contaminants were allowed in driveway mixes within the bu

Agents Notes

145 Main Street / NCCS 10/161 / Reconsideration-Install Fill. Retaining Wall & Stone

Pation/Deck (ATF) RDA Reconsideration / DoA Applicant: Mark O'Neill / Representative: N/A

Picase refer to last meeting 9 notes.

• Story and Marynel reviewed the two required additional conservation bounds.

• A draft DoA will be ready for Commission consideration.

Meeting Minutes

Ms. Wall and Ms. Minithame fild a site visit, noting that the bounds are an awkward height.

However, at this point it is not worth changing them. Discussion ensued about maintaining the existing lawn and the wetland delineation. Any future work would require a permit. This work is now documented and can be referred to in the future.

Motion, made by Ms. Minithame, seconded by Mr. Mott, to approve the request issuing a Determination of Applicatility with pos 2 m, pos 5 md neg 3. Unantimously voted

VOLATION DISCUSSIONS:

Agents notes – discussion ensued regarding the following on-going violation issues.

• ATV use along brook off Hemlock and Trout Brook.

Ridge fill Rd dumping.

Waiting for report from nurse. R. Wooffl suggested flying a drone to see the dumping. S. Minithane stated that she was tuncomfortable using a drone to do this.

• Pence along Donovan Woodland Area — don't have name of the new owners. Not yet available from Assessor's. Will reach our when we know who they are.

• R. Mott reported and cancroachment into the wetlands behind Blooming Place on Main St. N. Hemingway onted that there has been one-going work to improve long standing dumping violations. A group site visit will be scheduled w/ Marynel, Stacy and Ron.

Meeting Minutes

Stone House Gardensvaka That Blooming Place — R. Most - the buildings and equipment are encoaching on school land, and it's a mess. This activity happens every year. Prior to 1993 the property existed to the point of the dividing line. The school chooses not to take actign, N is property existed to the point of the dividing line. The school chooses not to take actign, N is property existe

June 11th, The Commission has paid for delineation class. B. McMackin asked if someone can go in his place. Mr. Woodill may be able to go, with Mr. Osborne as a backup.

Minutes
None voted

Bills
Motion; made by Mr. Osborne, seconded by Mr. Mott, to pay bills as listed. Unanimously voted.

The Commission reserves the right to discuss matters other than posted public hearings in an order other than as posted. The Board further reserves the right to discuss matter which could not reasonably be anticipated at the time of the posting of this meeting notice. At this point discussion took place about an unscheduled agenda lieur, the Mooring bylave proposed change. The Commission's proposed support against approval of this bylave proposed at length.

Meeting adjourned at 10:15 PM by unanimous vote. D. Osborne - Motion and R. Mott - Second.

M. Wahl, Jonservation Commission Châtr

Minutes draft submitted by E. McKenna, amended final version per the NCC approved 6/21/16.

8

Minutes draft submitted by E. McKenna, amended final version per the NCC approved 6/21/16.